

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,043	08/02/2001	Takayuki Doki	TMI-103	7787
24956 75	90 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
1800 DIAGONA SUITE 370	AL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22314	3621		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/04/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/857,043	DOKI, TAKAYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	November 2004.	·
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are pending in the ap  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority	d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is obtained. Examiner. Note the attached Office ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(attached been received.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Copies of the certified copies of the p     application from the International Bure	riority documents have been receive	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/857,043

Art Unit: 3621

#### Status of Claims

1. Claims 18 and 19 have been examined.

### Response to Amendments/Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18 ad 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,043

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 18 and 19 recite the language "online slips" and "a choice of online slips or ID numbers", however Applicant's Specification is silent regarding such a feature in the disclosed settlement method and system.

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18 and 19 recite, "... displayed on said information terminal device from the information terminal device". It is not clear to one of ordinary skill what function the information terminal device performs. For example, does the "information terminal device" send information back to itself?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,043

Art Unit: 3621

8. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linehan, U.S. Patent No. 6,327,578.

As per claims 18 and 19, Linehan teaches a settlement method and system comprising:

- a service provision device receiving access and a choice of products from an information terminal (column 5, lines 50-65; column 7, lines 55-60)
- a service provision device that pays for goods and services using online slips or ID numbers (column/line 5/65-6/3; column 7, lines 38-53)
- a settlement terminal device reading an ID number or an ID number from an online slip (column 6, lines 16-24) and transmitting information on the settlement to a settlement authentication device (column 6, lines 23-27)
- a settlement authentication device authenticating the settlement (column 6, lines 27-33) and transmitting the results to the service provision device (column 6, lines 37-43) thereby supplying the selected product to a user (column 6, lines 33-37 and 47-50; column/line 12/50-13/25)

Regarding, an ID number to be displayed on an information terminal device, it is well known to those of ordinary skill in electronic commerce for an information

terminal device such as a merchant computer to maintain a record of a transaction that includes an ID number such as a credit or debit card that can be referred to by customer service to assist a user with a problem. However, Linehan doesn't explicitly recite the service provision device receiving a payment method of online slips or ID numbers. Daly et al. teach a purchasing system where a user service provision device receives a payment method selection option (figure 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the purchasing systems of Linehan and Daly et al. in order to allow users to pay using for goods and services using one of said users payment methods ('141, column 11, lines 22-44).

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

Art Unit: 3621

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

Art Unit: 3621

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

February 16, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600